## Algorithms

Traversing Graphs
We can use depth first traversal or breadth first traversal to traverse a graph
Graph used in examples to follow
[D, B]
$[A, E, C, F]$
C $[B, F]$
[A, E]
[D, B]
[B, C]


## Breadth First Traversal

Breadth first traversal starts at a node and explores all the neighbour nodes before moving onto the next level of nodes. A breadth first traversal uses an iterative moving onto the next level of nodes. A breadth first traversal uses an iterative shortest path of an unweighted graph
breadth_first_traversal(node)
queue $=$ []
queue. append (node)
visited.append (node)
while queue is not empty
node = queue.pop(0)
print (node, end = " ")
for i in graph[node]:
if i not in visited
queue. append (i)
visited.append(i)
graph=\{'A':['D','B'],
'B':['A','E','C','F'], 'C': ['B','F'],
breadth_first_traversal("A")

| Trace Table |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Node | i | Output | Visited | Queue |
| A |  |  | [A] | [A] |
|  |  | A |  | [] |
|  | D |  | [ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}$ ] | [D] |
|  | B |  | [A, D, B] | [D, B] |
| D |  | D |  | [B] |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | E |  | [ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}$ ] | [B,E] |
| B |  | B |  | [E] |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | E |  |  |  |
|  | C |  | [ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}$ ] | [E,C] |
|  | F |  | [ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}]$ | [E,C, F$]$ |
|  |  | E |  | [C,F] |
|  |  | C |  | [F] |
|  |  | F |  | [] |

Depth first traversal starts at a node and traverses along each path as far as it goes before backtracking to the next branch. Depth first traversal uses recursion An application of a depth first traversal is for navigating a maze.
\# Uses recursive calls
depth_first_traversal(node)
visited.append (node)
for 1 in graph[node]:
depth_first_traversal(i)
\# Graph represented as an adjacency list
graph=\{"A": ["D", "B"], "B": ["A","E","C","F"],
"E": ["D" '"R"] "F": ["B" "C"
\# Create a list of visited nodes
\# set to false to begin with
visited = []
depth_first_traversal("A")

| Trace Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Call | Node | i | Visited |
|  |  |  | [] |
| 1 | A |  | [A] |
| 2 | D | D | [A,D] |
|  |  | A |  |
| 3 | E | E | [ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ ] |
|  |  | D |  |
| 4 | B | B | [ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{B}$ ] |
|  |  | A |  |
|  |  | E |  |
| 5 | C | C | [ $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ ] |
|  |  | B |  |
| 6 | F | F | [A,D,E,B,C,F] |

Navigating a maze with depth first traversal
Nodes are placed at the start and end points as well as at locations where there are alternative paths


## Tree-traversal

## There are three ways of traversing a binary tree

- Pre-order tree traversal
- Post-order tree traversa
hen traversing a tree we start at the root node. We can then visit the node (that When traversing a tree we start at the root node. We can then visit the node (that
is obtain the value of the node), traverse left or traverse right. The order in which we visit, traverse left or traverse right depends on the traversal method that we use.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pre-order <br> traversal | Post-order <br> traversal | In-order traversal |
|  | 1.Visit Node <br> 2.Left Traversal <br> 3.Right Traversal | 1.Left Traversal <br> 2.Right Traversal <br> 3.Visit Node | 1.Left Traversal <br> 2.Visit Node <br> 3.Right Traversal |
| Example | 10, 1, 17 | 1,17, 10 | 1, 10, 17 |
| Example <br> Application | Prefix Notation, <br> Copying a tree | Reverse Polish <br> Notation | Ordering a <br> sequence of <br> numbers, binary <br> tree search |

## In-order traversal

in_order_traversal (node)
if tree_left[node] ! = -1:
in_order_traversal
print(values[node])
if tree right[node] != -1:
in_order_traversal(tree_right[node])
\# node_index[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]
values $=[10,4,17,3,5,11,18]$
tree_left $=[2,4,6,-1,-1,-1,-1]$
tree_right $=[3,5,7,-1,-1,-1,-1]$
in_order_traversal(1)


Sequence output: 3,4,5,10,11,17,18

| Trace table |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| node | Value <br> [node] | Tree_left <br> [node] | Tree_right <br> [node] | Output |
| 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 3 | -1 | -1 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | -1 | -1 | 5 |
| 1 | 10 |  |  | 10 |
| 3 | 17 | 6 | 7 |  |
| 6 | 11 | -1 | -1 | 11 |
| 3 | 17 |  |  | 17 |
| 7 | 18 | -1 | -1 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Pre-order traversal

pre_order_traversal(node)
print (values [node])
if tree_left[node] != -1:
pre_order_traversal(tree_left[node])
if tree_right[node] ! $=-1$ :
pre_order_traversal(tree_right [node])
values $=["+", "-"-" * ", 2,4,6,7]$
values=["+","-","*", 2, 4, 6, 7]
tree right $=[3,5,7,-1,-1,-1,-1]$
pre_order_traversal(1)
Sequence output: - * 3 5-11 18


| Trace Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| node | Value [node] | Tree_right [node] | Tree_left [node] | Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | + | 3 | 2 | + |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | - | 5 | 4 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | - | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4 | -1 | -1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | + | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $*$ | 7 | 6 | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | -1 | -1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | -1 | -1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Post-Order Traversal

post_order_traversal(node):
if tree_left[node] != -1 :
post_order_traversal(tree_left[node])
if tree_right[node] ! = -1:
post_order_traversal(tree_right[node]) print(values [node])
values=["+","-","*",2,4,6,7]
tree_left=[2,4,6,-1,-1,-1,-1]
tree_right $=[3,5,7,-1,-1,-1,-1]$
post_order_traversal(1)
Sequence output: 35 * 1118 --


Trace Table

| Call | node | Value [node] | Tree_right [node] | Tree left [node] | Output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | + | 3 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 2 | - | 5 | 4 |  |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | - | 5 | 4 |  |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | -1 | -1 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | - | 5 | 4 | - |
| 5 | 3 | * | 7 | 6 |  |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | -1 | -1 | 6 |
| 5 | 3 | * | 7 | 6 |  |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | -1 | -1 | 7 |
| 5 | 3 | * | 7 | 6 | * |
| 1 | 1 | + | 3 | 2 | + |

## Reverse Polish Notation

## fix Notation

we are all familiar infix notation where the operators appear between the operands (ie the numbers) that you want to apply the operator to.

## Reverse Polish Notation (Postfix)

RPN uses postfix notation where the operators follow the operand. Using infix notation to add two numbers we get:
<operand> <operator> <operand> $3+4$
n RPN (postfix notation) this becomes
<operand> <operand> <operator> $34+$
Many interpreters and compiler automatically convert between infix notation to postfix notation, so there is no requirement to write code using the less familiar postfix notation.

## Advantages of Postfix

- Simpler for computer to evaluate

Do not need brackets

- Operators appear in correct order for computation

No need for order of precedence of operators, so there are fewer operations

## RPN Algorithm

1. Go through each character in the postfix expression from left to right
2. If character is a number then push number onto the stack
3. Otherwise if the character is an operator $(+,-, /, X)$ then pop the top 2 numbers from the stack
4. Evaluate the 2 numbers using the operator
5. Push result back onto the stack

Worked example: Solve the following expression: 531+-6×
Stack at each step

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 5 | 3 | 5 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 531+-6x | $531+-6 \mathrm{x}$ | 531+-6x | 531+-6x | 531+-6x | $531+6 \mathrm{x}$ | 531+6* |
| Push 5 onto stack | Push 4 onto stack | Push 1 onto stack | Pop 1,3 <br> Evaluate <br> $1+3=4$ <br> Push <br> result <br> on stack | Pop 4,5 <br> Evaluate <br> 5-4=1 <br> Push <br> result <br> on stack | Push 6 onto stack | Pop 6,1 <br> Evaluate <br> $6 \times 1=6$ <br> Push <br> result <br> on stack |

Answer is 6
Infix expression $(5-(1+3)) \times 6$

## Convert from Infix to Postfix notation

| Step 1 | Add Brackets | $(3+((5 \times 3) /(7-4)))$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step 2 | Write out the operands with <br> spaces | 3 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 4 |  |
| Step 3 | Starting with the inner most <br> brackets move the operator <br> to after the operands from <br> between the operands | 3 | 5 | 5 | $3 \times 7$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |

## Alternative Shunting Yard Algorithm to Convert from Infix to Postfix notation



Worked Example: Convert the following expression to RPN: $2+(5 \times 3) / 2$

| Symbol | Action | Output queue | Operator stack |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Push operand onto output queue | 2 |  |
| + | Push operator onto operator stack | 2 | + |
| 5 | Push operand onto output queue | 25 | + |
| x | Push operand onto operator stack, $x$ has higher precedence than + | 25 | x+ |
| 3 | Push operand onto output queue | 253 | x+ |
| / | Pop stack to output, $x$ has same precedence as / <br> Push on operator stack, / has higher precedence than + | $\begin{aligned} & 253 x \\ & 253 x \end{aligned}$ | + |
| 2 | Push operand onto output queue | $253 \times 2$ | /+ |
|  | Pop whole stack onto output queue | $253 \times 2 /+$ |  |

## Linear Search Algorithm

- The purpose of the linear search algorithm is to find a target item within a list. - Compares each list item one-by-one against the target until the match has been found and returns the position of the item in the list.
- If all items have been checked and the search item is not in the list then the program will run through to the end of the list and return a suitable message indicating that the item is not in the list.
- The algorithm runs in linear time. If $n$ is the length of the list, then at worst the algorithm will make $n$ comparisons. At best it will make 1 comparison and on average it will make $(n+1) / 2$ comparisons.
- The performance of the algorithm will be improved if the target item is nea the start of the list.
- The time complexity of the linear search algorithm is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$


## Example

Find the position of letter "Z" within the following list. Assume we do not have visibility of the list

```
Index position (10 0
Value
```

We compare it with the value in index position 0 . We find that the value is " $V$ " so we need to move on to the next index position. At index position 1 and 2 we still have not found $Z$. However, we get to index position 3 and we compare the target with the value and we find that they match, so the algorithm returns the index position and stops.

## seudocode

i $\leftarrow 0$
$x \leftarrow$ len(listOfItems)
pos $\leftarrow-1$
found $\leftarrow$ False
WHILE i < x AND NOT found
IF listOfItems[i] == itemSearch THEN
found $\leftarrow$ True
pos Łi + 1
ENDIF
i=i+1
EnDWHILE
output pos

Worked example: given the following vales for listOfItems and
itemSearch we have the following trace table
listofitems $\leftarrow[6,3,9,1,2]$
itemSearch $\leftarrow 1$

| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | pos | found | itemSearch | listOfltems[i] | OUTPUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 5 | -1 | False | 1 | 6 |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 4 | 4 | True |  |  |  |  |

Binary Search Algorithm

- The binary search algorithm works on a sorted list by identifying the middle value in the list and comparing it with the search item.
If the search item is smaller the mid element becomes the new high value for the search area.
- If the search item is larger the mid element becomes the low value for the search area.
The keeps repeating until the search item is found.
- When the search item is found the index position of the item is returned
- At each iteration the search are halved in size consequently this is an efficient algorithm.
- The time complexity of the binary search algorithm is $O(\log n)$

Example: Binary search in operation to find 81


## Pseudocode

low $\leftarrow 1$
high $\leftarrow$ LENGTH (arr
mid $\leftarrow$ (low + high) DIV 2
While val $\neq \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{mid}]$
IF $A[$ mid $]$ < val THEN
low ヶmid
ELIF A[mid] > val THEN
high $\leftarrow$ mid
ENDIF
mid $\leftarrow($ low + high $)$ DIV
ENDWHILE
OUTPUT mid
Worked example: given the following vales for arr and val we have the following trace table

$$
A \leftarrow[0,5,13,19,22,41,55,68,72,81,98]
$$

| mid | high | low | A[mid] | A[high $]$ | A[low] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 11 | 1 | 41 | 98 | 0 |
| 8 | 11 | 6 | 68 | 98 | 41 |
| 9 | 11 | 8 | 72 | 98 | 68 |
| 10 | 11 | 9 | 81 | 98 | 72 |

## Linear search versus binary search

|  | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Linear <br> Search | -Very simple algorithm <br> and easy to implement | -slow because it searchers <br> through the whole list <br> very inefficient for long lists <br> -No sorting required <br> Good for short lists <br> Binary <br> Search- much quicker than linear <br> search, because it halves <br> the search zone each <br> step. |

## nary Tree Search

- Binary tree search can be coded using a recursive algorithm or iterative
algorithm. We are going to present the recursive binary tree search algorithm here.
- The time complexity of the binary tree search algorithm is the same as that for the binary search algorithm: $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$.
It can be applied to any values: number or letters that are ordered in the binary tree numerically or alphabetically.

Example sorted binary tree


## Python implementation using lists

def binaryTreeSearch (node, searchItem) path.append (values [node])
if values[node] $==$ searchItem:
return "Value in Tree. Path: "+str (path)
elif values[node] < searchItem:
if treeRight[node] == -1:
return "Value not in Tree"
return binaryTreeSearch(treeRight[node],searchItem)
elif values[node] > searchItem:
if treeLeft[node] == -1:
return "Value not in Tree"
return binaryTreeSearch(treeLeft[node],searchItem)

## path = []

\# node $[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]$
values $=[10,1,17,4,11,8,14,5,12,16]$
treeLeft $=[1,-1,4,-1,-1,7,8,-1,-1,-1]$
treeRight $=[2,3,-1,5,6,-1,9,-1,-1,-1]$
print (binaryTreeSearch (0, 5))
Tracing

| Call num | Call | Output | Return |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BinarySearchTree(10,5) | 10 |  |
| 2 | BinarySearchTree(1,5) | 1 |  |
| 3 | BinarySearchTree(4,5) | 4 |  |
| 4 | BinarySearchTree(8,5) | 8 |  |
| 5 | BinarySearchTree(5,5) | 5 | 5 |

## Sorting Algorithms <br> Bubble Sort

- The purpose of sorting algorithms is to order an unordered list. Item can be ordered alphabetically or by number.
- Bubble sort steps through a list and compares pairs of adjacent numbers. The numbers are swapped if they are in the wrong order. For an ascending list if he left number is bigger than the right number the items are swapped otherwise the numbers are not swapped.
- The algorithm repeatedly passes through the list until no more swaps are needed.
- The time complexity of the algorithm is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

Example: Sort the following sequence in ascending order using bubble sort $5,3,4,1,2$.

| Pass <br> 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | Compare 5 and 3 - swap |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | Compare 5 and 4 - swap |
|  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | Compare 5 and 1-swap |
|  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | Compare 5 and 2 - swap; end of pass 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | Compare 3 and 4 - no swap |
|  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | Compare 4 and 1 - swap |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | Compare 4 and 2 - swap |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | Compare 4 and 5 - no swap; end of pass 2 |
| Pass <br> 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | Compare 3 and 1-swap |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Compare 3 and 2 - swap |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Compare 3 and 4 - no swap |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Compare 4 and 5 - no swap; end of pass 3 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |

Bubble sort Pseudocode
$A \leftarrow[5,3,4,1,2]$
sorted $\leftarrow$ False
WHILE not sorted
sorted $\leftarrow$ True
FOR i TO LEN(A) -1:
IF A[i] > A[i+1]:
temp $\leftarrow A[i]$
$\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}] \leftarrow \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}+1]$
A[i+1] ヶ temp
sorted $\leftarrow$ False

ENDFOR
Endwhile
OUTPUT A

| sorted | i | A[i] | A[i+1] | temp | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| False |  |  |  |  | 5,3,4,1,2 |
| True | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 |  |
| False |  | 3 | 5 |  | 3,5,4,1,2 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 4 | 5 |  | 3,4,5,1,2 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 5 |  | 3,4,1,5,2 |
|  | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 5 |  | 3,4,1,2,5 |
| True | 0 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| False | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 3,1,4,2,5 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 4 |  | 3,1,2,4,5 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| True | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 1,3,2,4,5 |
| False | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 3 |  | 1,2,3,4,5 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| True | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |  |

## Merge Sort

- Merge sort is a type of divide and conquer algorithm.
- There are two steps: divide and combine
- Merge sort works by dividing the unsorted list sublists. It keeps on doin this until there is 1 item in each list.
- Pairs of sublists are combined into an ordered list containing all items in the two sublists. The algorithm keeps going until there is only 1 ordered list remaining.
- Merge sort is a recursive function, that calls itself.
- The time complexity of merge sort is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})$

Step 1: Divide


Keep dividing until there is only 1 item in each list
Step2: Combine


1. The first items in the two sublists are compared, and the smallest value is copied to the parent list.
2. The copied item is then removed from the sublist.
3. When there are no items left in one of the sublists the remaining items in the other sublist are them copied in order to the parent list.

## Merge sort Pseudocode

SUBROUTINE MergeSort(List, Start, End)
IF Start < End THEN
Mid $\leftarrow$ (Start + End) DIV 2
List1 $\leftarrow$ MergeSort(List, Start, Mid)
List2 $\leftarrow$ MergeSort(List, Mid +1 , End
List3 $\leftarrow$ []
while Len (List1) > 0 AND LEN(List2) >
IF List1[1] > List2[1] THEN
APPEND List2[1] TO List3
POP List2[1] FROM List2
ELSE
APpend List1[1] TO List3
POP List1[1] FROM List1
ENDIF
EnDWHILE
WHILE LEN(List1) > 0
APPEND List1[1] TO List3
POP List1[1] FROM List1
ENDWHILE
WHILE LEN(List2) > 0
APPEND List2[1] TO List3
POP List2[1] FROM List2
EnDwhile
RETURN List3
ELSE
List4 $\leftarrow$ []
APPEND List[Start] To List4
Return List4
ndSUBROUTINE

Tracing the code
$\mathrm{L}=[5,3,4,1,2]$
MergeSort (L, 1,5)

| Call | Start | End | Mid | List <br> returned |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $[5]$ |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |  | $[$ |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $[3]$ |
| 5 | 2 | 2 |  | $[3,5]$ |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | $[4]$ |
| 6 | 3 | 3 |  | $[3,4,5]$ |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 |  |
| 8 | 4 | 4 |  | $[1]$ |
| 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 |  |
| 9 | 5 | 5 |  | $[2]$ |
| 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 | $[1,2]$ |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | $[1,2,3,4,5]$ |

Merge sort Versus Bubble sort

| Bubble <br> sort | Advantages <br> Very simple and robust <br> algorithm | Cisadvantages <br> Can be slow particularly for long lists. <br> As the number of items increases the <br> time taken for the algorithm to run <br> increases dramatically. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Merge <br> sort | Much faster than bubble <br> sort especially when the <br> number of elements is large | More complex to understand <br> Step 1: Divide <br> Step 2: Combine |

Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm

- The purpose of Dijkstra's algorithm finds the shortest path between nodes / verticies in a weighted graph.
Selects the unvisited node with the shortest path
Calculates the distance to each unvisited neighbo
Updates the distance of each unvisited neighbor if smaller
- Once all neighbours have been visited mark node as visited


## Example graph



## Example: find the shortest route between node A and F

| Node | A | B | c | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $0_{A}$ | 3A | 5 A | $\infty$ | 8A | $\infty$ |
| B |  | 3 A | $4{ }_{B}$ | $\infty$ | 8 A | $\infty$ |
| C |  |  | $4{ }_{\text {B }}$ | 8 c | 6 c | $\infty$ |
| E |  |  |  | 8 c | 6 c | 7 F |
| F |  |  |  | 8 c |  | 7 E |
| D |  |  |  | 8 c |  |  |

Start at node A because it is the unvisited node with the shortest distance to node A. The distance to each unvisited neighbor is 3 and 5 for B and C respectively. B has the shortest distance to node $A$ so this is the next unvisited node we select. At $B$ there is only 1 neighbor (C). The distance is updated because the route A-B-C (4) has less cost that the route A-C (5). E is the next unvisited node with the shortest distance and is has as neighbours $D$ and $F$. $F$ has the less cost out the two and is hen selected as the next unvisited node. The shortest route is A-C-E-F.

## Dijkstra Pseudocode

$Q \leftarrow$ []
distance $\leftarrow$ []
previous_node $\leftarrow$ []
FOR $i \leftarrow 1$ TO NUMBER_OF_VERTICIES
Append ito $Q$
Append 100 to distance
Append -1 to previous_node
ENDFOR
distance[1] $\leftarrow 0$
NHILE LEN (Q) !=
$u \leftarrow Q[1]$
Pop u from $Q$
FOR $v$ in $Q$
IF matrix[u][v] > 0
a=distance[u] + matrix[u][v]
IF a< distance [v]
previous_node[v]=u
ENDIF
ENDFOR
EnDWHILE

## Trace table Given the following matrix

| $\mathbf{u} / \mathbf{v}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| $\mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{v}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ |  | Distance |  | Previous_node |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,2,2$, <br> 3,4 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,3, <br> 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3,4 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

