Defences

0	1	A L - III - A A/A L II I	NA/N 1-1 (40.42)
Capacity	Insanity	Apply the M'Naghten rules	M'Naghten (1843)
Defences	Partial Defence	Defect of reason	R v Clarke (1972)
		 Which results from a disease of the mind 	R v Kemp (1956)
		 Which caused the D to not to know the 	R v Sullivan (1984)
		nature and quality of his or her act or to	R v Hennessy (1989)
		not know what he was doing was wrong.	R v Burgess (1991)
			R v Quick (1973)
			R v Coley (2013)
			R v Oye (2013)
			R v Windle (1952)
			R v Johnson (2007)
	Automatism	An external cause or condition causes D not to	Hill v Baxter (1958)
	Full Defence	have control over his or her actions.	R v T (1990)
	Tall Defence	nave control over his of her decions.	AG's ref (No.2 of 1992) (1993)
			R v Bailey (1983)
			R v Hardie (1984)
			R v Hardie (1984)
	Intoxication	You need to consider whether the intoxication is	R v Sheehan and Moore
	Full Defence	voluntary or involuntary and whether the offence	(1975)
	2 0.000	is one of basic or specific intent	R v Lipman (1970)
		is one or basic or specime interne	AG for NI v Gallagher (1963)
		Voluntary Intoxication cannot be used as a	DPP v Majewski (1977)
		defence to a basic intent crime.	R v Kingston (1984)
		defence to a basic intent crime.	R v O'Grady (1987)
			R v Hatton (2005)
	Calf Dafanca/	Was fares a sessant (Subjective)	Jaggard v Dickinson (1980)
]	Self-Defence/	Was force necessary (Subjective)	R v Gladstone Williams (1987)
	Prevention of	Was the forced used reasonable (Objective)	Beckford v The Queen (1988)
	Crime		R v Seun Oye (2018)
		Plus the statutory defence of a 'citizens' arrest'	R v Bird (1986)
		under s,3(1) Criminal Law Act 1967	R v Rashford (2005)
			R v Clegg (1995)
			R v Martin (Anthony) (2002)
			R v Ray (2017)
	Duress by Threats	Where a threat of death or serious harm is made	R v Howe (1987)
		to D or another identified person.	R v Hassan (2005)
		D is given an instruction to carry out a specific	R v Valderrama-Vega (1985)
		crime and it is reasonable for D to act in the way	R v Graham (1982)
		they did.	R v Cole (1994)
			R v Hassan (formerly Z) (2005)
		Duress cannot be used as a defence for Murder,	
		Attempted Murder or Treason.	
	Duress by	When D finds himself in a situation where	R v Willer (1986)
	Circumstances	committing a crime may be needed to keep	R v Conway (1988)
		himself say.	R v Martin (1989)
		In this situation there does not need to be a	R v Pommell (1995)
		threat to carry out a specific crime.	R v Cairns (1999)
		, 2300 000000	R v Abdul-Hussain (1999)
i l			v / (baai 11a33aiii (±333)