Exclusion and Limitation Clauses

Limitation clauses – a term in a contract that sets an upper limit on liability for breach of contract.		
		L/Fatana and Canada
incorporated into the contract (1)	where a party has signed a written agreement s/he is bound by that agreement	L'Estrange v Graucob (1934)
Is the term incorporated in the contract (2)	Whether exclusion clauses are only incorporated into a contract requires the party subject to the clause to know of the clause at the time the contract was made	Olley v Malborough Court Hotel (1949)
Is the term incorporated into the contract – the ticket cases	The combination of notices, tickets and other documents may make it difficult for someone trying to rely on an exclusion clause to prove that it was brought to the attention of the other party	Chapelton v Barry UDC (1940) Thompson v LMS Railway (1930) Thornton v Shoe Lane Parking Ltd (1971)
Is the term incorporated into	Is the term incorporated as a result of previous dealings of the parties?	McCutcheon v David MacBrayne Ltd (1964)
The contra proferentem rule	Definition – where there is doubt about the meaning of a term in a contract, the words will be construed against the person who put them in the contract. The contra proferentem principle is an approach to be used only where the term is both one sided and ambiguous	Transocean Drilling UK Ltd v Providence Resources plc (2016) Persimmon Homes Ltd v Ove Arup and Partners Ltd (2017) Oliver Nobahar-Cookson v The Hut Group (2016)
Unfair Terms	S.2(1) a person cannot exclude liability for death or personal injury caused by negligence	eat 3.3ap (2323)
Contract Act 1977	S.6(1) the implied condition as to title (Sale of Goods Act 1979 and s.7 of the Supply of Goods and Services Act 1982) S.3 imposes a reasonable test to contracts where one party is subject to the other's standard written terms of business. Guidelines as to what is reasonable is contained on s.11 and schedule 2 of the Act. These are guidelines and it is ultimately up to the judge to decide what is reasonable. s.11(5) requires the person who inserts the clause to show that it is reasonable in all circumstances.	cannot be excluded Warren v Truprint Ltd (1986)
	S11(1) concerns exclusion clauses in general. The question is whether the insertion of the clause is reasonable in light of what is known to the parties at the time. Sometimes called the knowledge test.	Smith v Eric S Bush (1990)
	customer	Watford Electronics Ltd v Sanderson CFL Ltd (2001)
	S.11 (4) specifically equates to limitation clauses. There are two set criteria:	George Mitchell Ltd v
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Finney Lock Seeds Ltd (1983)
Consumer Rights Act 2015	S.31 – Prohibits a term excluding or limiting liability, for the supply of services under the following sections of the Act with respect to the sale of goods: s.9 – Goods to be of satisfactory quality s.10 – goods to be fit for purpose S.11 Goods to be as described s.14 Goods to match the model seen or examined s.15 installation as part of conformity of the goods with the contract S.57 Prohibits a term excluding or limiting liability for the supply of services under the following sections of the act s.49 – Service to be performed with reasonable skill and care s.59 – Information about the or service to be binding s.51 – reasonable price s.52 – reasonable price s.52 – reasonable time S.65 – prohibits exclusion or restriction of liability for death or personal injury resulting from negligence General fairness of terms s.62 – requirement for all consumer contracts and notices to be fair The act defines unfair as those which put the consumer at a disadvantage by limiting the consumer's rights disproportionately increasing his/her obligations as compared to the trader's rights and obligations. The courts should take into account the circumstances existing when the term was agreed, other terms of the contract and nature of the subject matter of the contract. The fairness test is also supplements by a 'grey list' of terms, this is a non-exhaustive list of terms that may be unfair. In particular, terms relating to the main subject matter of the contract or term that set the price are not subject to the fairness test if they are both. • Transparent – in plain and intelligible language and, if in writing legible and • Prominent – brought to the consumer's attention in such as a way that the average consumer would be aware of the term Written terms in consumer notices must also be transparent. So this could be in any communication or announcement, as long as it is	
	Exclusion clauses are Is the term incorporated into the contract (1) Is the term incorporated in the contract (2) Is the term incorporated into the contract – the ticket cases Is the term incorporated into the contract (3) The contra proferentem rule Unfair Terms Contract Act 1977 Consumer Rights	State term Incorporated into